STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPT. UE INQUIRANCE DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCEY-

In the Matter of	)	Docket No. 96A-098
HOUSTON GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY	)	CONSENT ORDER
Respondent.	)	

Examiners for the Department of Insurance (the "Department") conducted a market conduct examination of Houston General Insurance Company ("Houston General"), NAIC #38849. The Report of the Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Houston General (the "Report") alleges that Houston General has violated A.R.S. §§ 20-259.01, 20-385, 20-400.01, 20-448, 20-451, 20-462, 20-1673, 20-1676 and 20-1677, and Arizona Administrative Code Rule ("A.A.C. R") 20-6-801, formerly A.A.C.R4-14-801.

Houston General wishes to resolve this matter without formal adjudicative proceedings and agrees to this Consent Order.

The Director enters the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, which are neither admitted nor denied by Houston General, and the following Order.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- Houston General is authorized to transact property and 1. . casualty insurance (including workers' compensation) in Arizona pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- 2. Examiners were authorized by the Director to conduct an examination of Houston General. The on-site examination was concluded as on June 3, 1994.

27 28

25

- 3. The Examiners found that Houston General paid commissions for the sale of insurance products to an entity that did not hold an agent or broker license issued by the Director.
- 4. The Examiners reviewed 691 commercial package policies issued by Houston General from January 30, 1990 through March 31, 1994.
- 5. In determining policy premiums, Houston deviated from its filed rates and rules in 430 instances, by:
- a. exceeding its filed Individual Risk Premium Modification ("IRPM") maximum on 16 policies. As a result, Houston General overcharged four insureds a total of \$1,060 and undercharged insureds a total of \$3,796. No premium differences resulted in three of the policies reviewed.
- b. exceeding its filed individual risk characteristic maximum on 47 policies.
- c. failing to include any documentation of the reasons for its deletion of IRPM credits from 14 policies.
- d. applying a total of eight unfiled modifiers to the rating of three CP policies.
- e. applying unfiled factor in rating 123 policies (19.0%), for a total of 131 violations. On six of these policy files, Houston General knowingly altered the premium worksheets by changing the minimum premium, modification factor or actual line of coverage premium. As a result, Houston General overcharged 96 insureds a total of \$7,911, and undercharged 27 insureds a total of \$19,541.

. . . . .

- f. applied an unfiled minimum premium to 69 policy files. As a result, Houston General overcharged 67 insureds a total of \$20,481 and undercharged 2 insureds a total of \$322.
- g. used rates other than those filed with the Department in computing the premiums of 18 policy files. As a result, Houston General overcharged 6 insureds a total of \$335 and undercharged 12 insureds a total of \$2,134.
- h. used incorrect construction classifications in rating 14 policy files. As a result, Houston General overcharged 11 insureds a total of \$3,401 and undercharged 2 insureds a total of \$625. One policy was cancelled, and no charge was incurred by the applicant.
- i. used incorrect protection classification codes in rating 13 policy files. As a result, Houston General overcharged nine insureds a total of \$3,477.
- j. used incorrect territory classifications in rating 29 policy files. As a result, Houston General overcharged 8 insureds a total of \$1,384 and undercharged 21 insureds a total of \$4,201.
- k. failed to consider 50 eligible applicants for its IRPM and/or schedule rating plans.
- applied schedule credits to one property policy,
   and applied the IRPM to four liability policies.
  - m. stated an unfiled deductible in one policy.
- n. used incorrect business classification codes on two policies, and applied an unfiled modifier to one of the policies. As a result, Houston General overcharged one insured \$95 and undercharged one insured \$931.

- o. stating in two files that the policies had minimum earned premiums of 100%, rather than the filed 25% minimum earned premium.
- p. knowingly altering the premium worksheets of four additional policy files by changing the minimum premium, modification factor or actual line of coverage premium. As a result, Houston General undercharged the insureds a total of \$1,159.
- 6. Houston General failed to notify 94 insureds of premium increase or policy changes at least 60 days in advance of the effective date of each change.
- 7. Houston General failed to obtain the signed underinsured motorist coverage rejection form for 12 policy files (1.7%).
- 8. The Examiners reviewed 74 policy files with effective dates of cancellation or nonrenewal from March 3, 1990 through December 14, 1993. Of these, Houston General:
- a. failed to send 30 notices of nonrenewal by certified mail.
- b. cancelled two policy files in effect for more than 60 days for reasons other than those permitted by statute.
- 9. The Examiners reviewed 191 claim files with dates of loss from May 8, 1990 through December 3, 1993. Of these, Houston General:
- a. paid two claims more than 30 days after the receipt of an acceptable proof of loss which contained all information necessary for claim adjudication, and did not pay interest on the claims.

b. failed to notify one claimant of the acceptance or denial of the claim within 15 days of receipt.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. By making adjustments to full manual premiums developed for commercial policies without adequate justification for the adjustments, Houston General violated A.R.S. § 20-400.01(B).
- 2. By calculating commercial policy premiums other than on the basis of its rates and rules filed pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-385(A), and by developing premiums for commercial risks on the basis of adjustments to full manual premium made without adequate justification, Houston General violated A.R.S. § 20-400.01(A).
- 3. By failing to file rates and supplementary rating information used to determine premiums of commercial package policies, Houston General violated A.R.S. § 20-385(A).
- 4. By failing to send notices of premium increase, change in deductible or substantial reduction in coverage of commercial policies at least sixty (60) days before their expiration date, Houston General violated A.R.S. § 20-1677(A).
- 5. By failing to obtain signed underinsured motorist coverage rejection forms from each insured rejecting underinsured motorist coverage, Houston General violated A.R.S. § 20-259.01(B).
- 6. By intentionally reducing premiums based on filed rates to reach target premiums, Houston General gave discounts to the insureds as inducements to insurance, in violation of A.R.S. § 20-451.

- 7. By making unfair discrimination in favor of particular persons, or between insureds or subjects of insurance having substantially like insuring, risk and exposure factors, or expense elements, in the rate or amount of premium charged therefor, Houston General violated A.R.S. § 20-448(C).
- 8. By failing to send notices of nonrenewal by certified mail, Houston General violated A.R.S. § 20-1676(B).
- 9. By cancelling policies which had been in effect for more than 60 days for reasons other than those permitted by statute, Houston General violated A.R.S. § 20-1673(B).
- 10. Houston General violated A.R.S. § 20-462(A) by failing to pay interest on all first party claims not paid within thirty (30) days of the receipt of an acceptable proof of loss which contained all information necessary for claim adjudication.
- 11. Houston General violated A.A.C.R20-6-801(G)(1)(b) by failing to accept or deny a first-party claim within 15 working days of receipt of a properly executed proof of loss.
- 12. Grounds exist for the entry of the provisions of the following Order.

#### ORDER

Houston General having admitted the jurisdiction of the Director to enter this Order, having waived the Notice of Hearing, having consented to the entry of this Order, and there being no just reason for delay:

### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Houston General shall cease and desist from:
- a. failing to document the facts in support of adjustments to full manual premiums;

- b. issuing policies at premiums other than those based determined upon the basis of its filed rates and rules;
- c. failing to mail notices of premium increase or policy change to insureds at least 60 days prior to the effective date of any premium increase or policy change.
- d. failing to mail notices of nonrenewal to insureds by certified mail.
- 2. Within ninety (90) days of this Order's filed date, Houston General shall submit to the Director written action plans to monitor Arizona issued policies to ensure that:
- a. only rates, rating plans and rating rules which have been filed with the ADOI by Houston General or on its behalf are used.
- b. policy worksheets are prepared and retained in policy files.
- c. all endorsements issued with policies are filed with the Department.
- d. the Arizona Cancellation Endorsement is issued with all commercial policies.
- e. facts are documented to support any adjustment to full manual premiums.
- f. all insureds whose policies are to be nonrenewed are sent notices of nonrenewal at least 60 days in advance of the effective date of the nonrenewal.
- g. all insureds whose policies are subject to premium increase or policy change are sent notices of premium increase or policy change at least 60 days in advance of the effective date of the premium increase or policy change.

- 3. Within ninety (90) days of the filed date of this Order, Houston General shall reimburse the 98 policyholders listed in Exhibit A of this Order, for net premium overcharges totalling \$37,329.00 plus interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum calculated from the date paid by the insured to the date of repayment to the insured.
- 4. Within ninety (90) days of the filed date of this Order, Houston General pay the claimants of Claims #02108611 and 02134069 the amounts of \$1.50 and \$99.84, respectively, as interest at the rate of ten percent per annum on the amounts of the claims not paid within 30 days after receipt of an acceptable proof of loss by the insurer which contains all information necessary for claim adjudication.
- 5. All payments made pursuant to paragraphs 3 and 4 above shall be accompanied by a letter acceptable to the Director. A list of payments, giving the name and address of each party paid, the amount of the overcharge, the amount of interest paid, and the date of payment, shall be furnished to the Market Conduct Examinations Division of the ADOI within 100 days of the filed date of this Order.
- 6. The Department shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify that Houston General has fully complied with all requirements of this Order.
- 7. Houston General shall pay a civil penalty of \$43,000 to the Director for transmission to the State Treasurer for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. \$20-220(B). Said \$43,000 shall be provided to the Market

Conduct Examinations Division of the Department on or before May 15, 1996.

8. The May 12, 1994 Report of Examination, and any objections to the Report filed by Houston General, shall be filed with the Department after issuance of this Order.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this 19th day of Jone, 1996.

Chris Herstam
Director of Insurance

# CONSENT TO ORDER

- 1. Respondent, Houston General Insurance Company, has reviewed the attached Consent Order.
- 2. Respondent is aware of its right to a hearing at which hearing it may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent has irrevocably waived its right both to demand a public hearing and to seek judicial review of this Order.
- 3. Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, and consent to the entry of this Consent Order.
- 4. Respondent states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Order and that it has entered into this Order voluntarily.
- 5. Respondent acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, is solely to settle this matter against it and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or subdivision thereof from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- 6. Richard L. Brown, who holds the office of President of Houston General Insurance Company, is authorized to enter into this Order for and on its behalf.

5/30/96 (Date) HOUSTON GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY

1 COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered June , 1996, to: day of 2 this 19th Charles R. Cohen 3 Deputy Director Gregory Y. Harris 4 Executive Assistant Director Erin H. Klug 5 Chief Market Conduct Examiner Saul R. Saulson Examinations Supervisor Market Conduct Examinations Division Mary Butterfield Assistant Director Life & Health Division Deloris E. Williamson 9 Assistant Director Rates & Regulations Division 10 Gary Torticill Assistant Director and Chief Financial Examiner 11 Corporate & Financial Affairs Division Cathy O'Neil 12 Assistant Director Consumer Services Division 13 John Gagne Assistant Director 14 Investigations Division John King 15 Fraud Unit Chief Dean Ehler 16 Supervisor Property and Casualty Section 17 18 DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 19 Phoenix, AZ 85018 20 Richard L. Brown, President Houston General Insurance Company 21 P.O. Box 2932 Ft. Worth, Texas 76113-2932 22 arrey W. Buston 23 24 25

26

27